

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Claims 10-11, and 13-15 remain in this application. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 have been cancelled by this Amendment and Claims 6 and 16-20 were previously cancelled. Claim 12 has been cancelled because the limitations of Claim 12 have been added to Claim 10. No Claims are added.

Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the following remarks.

CLAIM REJECTION-35 U.S.C SECTION 102 (b)

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Busta (U.S. Patent No. 4,859,623).

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 have been cancelled, thus, the rejection is mute.

Claims 10-11, and 13-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morozumi (U.S. Patent No. 4,600,274)

Respect with Morozumi '274, which discloses a gate line is connected to the gate of a transistor to serve as a selecting line Y, and a data line is connected to the source of the transistor through a contact hole to serve as an X line. An electrode for driving the liquid crystal is connected to the drain of the transistor through a contact

hole (column 4, lines 26-34).

Regards as Amended Claim 10, recited “a second conducting structure upon said substrate, with the projection of said second conducting structure onto said substrate intersecting the projection of said first conducting structure onto said substrate”. In the disclosure of Morozumi ‘274, the second conducting structure is gate, and the first conducting structure is gate line, which is not “source electrode” as the Amended claim 10 recited. Thus, the overlapping of the projection of the first conducting structure and the second conducting structure is different between the Morozumi ‘274 and the amended Claim 10.

In addition, Morozumi ‘274 did not disclose the recitation “a fourth conducting structure upon said substrate, with the projection of said fourth conducting structure onto said substrate separated from said projection of said first and said third conducting structure onto said substrate, said projection of said fourth conducting structure onto said substrate completely inside said projection of said second conducting structure onto said substrate; and said projection of said fourth conducting structure onto said substrate approximately parallel to said projection of said third conducting structure onto said substrate at least seven times longer than said side of said projection of said fourth conducting structure onto said substrate approximately parallel to said projection of said first conducting structure onto said substrate” as in amended Claim 10.

Morozumi ‘274 did not disclose the “projection of said fourth

conducting structure onto said substrate approximately parallel to said projection of said third conducting structure onto said substrate at least seven times longer than said side of said projection of said fourth conducting structure onto said substrate approximately parallel to said projection of said first conducting structure onto said substrate". In the disclosure of Morozumi '274, the fourth conducting structure (gate) is not parallel to the projection of said third conducting structure (source electrode). Is the disclosure of Morozumi '274 did not disclose the "at least seven times longer than said side of said projection of said fourth conducting structure onto said substrate approximately parallel to said projection of said first conducting structure onto said substrate". Thus, Morozumi '274 cannot anticipate the present invention. The rejection can be traversed.

Conclusion

In the light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending Claims 10-11, and 13-15 as currently presented are in condition for allowance. Applicant has thoroughly reviewed that art cited but relied upon by the Examiner. Applicant has concluded that these references do not affect the patentability of these claims as currently presented. Accordingly, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

This Amendment was prepared by Applicant, and is being submitted without substantive change by the undersigned Attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



David I. Klein
Registration No. 33,253

Dated: 13 June 2005

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee
Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410)465-6678